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## Sequence Tagging

Given a sequence of $n$ items $\boldsymbol{x}=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$, assign to each of them one of $K$ tags:

$$
\boldsymbol{y}=\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right) \quad \text { where each } \quad y_{i} \in\{1, \ldots, K\} .
$$

## Sequence Tagging

Given a sequence of $n$ items $\boldsymbol{x}=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$, assign to each of them one of $K$ tags:

$$
\boldsymbol{y}=\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right) \quad \text { where each } \quad y_{i} \in\{1, \ldots, K\} .
$$

## Example 1: Part-of-speech (POS) tagging in NLP

|  | the | old | man | the | boat |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\boldsymbol{y}_{a}$ | det | adj | noun | det | noun |
| $\boldsymbol{y}_{b}$ | det | noun | verb | det | noun |

## Sequence Tagging

Given a sequence of $n$ items $\boldsymbol{x}=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$, assign to each of them one of $K$ tags:

$$
\boldsymbol{y}=\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right) \quad \text { where each } \quad y_{i} \in\{1, \ldots, K\} .
$$

Example 2: Frame-level phoneme classification (may be part of speech recognition)


## Sequence Tagging

Given a sequence of $n$ items $\boldsymbol{x}=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$, assign to each of them one of $K$ tags:

$$
\boldsymbol{y}=\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right) \quad \text { where each } \quad y_{i} \in\{1, \ldots, K\} .
$$

## Example 3: Optical character recognition



## Characterizing The Output Space

Given a sequence of $n$ items $\boldsymbol{x}=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$, assign to each of them one of $K$ tags:

$$
\boldsymbol{y}=\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right) \quad \text { where each } \quad y_{i} \in\{1, \ldots, K\} .
$$

Input $\boldsymbol{x}=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$, e.g., a sequence of words.
Output $\boldsymbol{y}=\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)$, e.g., a sequence of part-of-speech tags.
For each data point (sentence), $|\boldsymbol{y}|=|\boldsymbol{x}|$; different data points have different lengths.

## Characterizing The Output Space

Given a sequence of $n$ items $\boldsymbol{x}=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$, assign to each of them one of $K$ tags:

$$
\boldsymbol{y}=\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right) \quad \text { where each } \quad y_{i} \in\{1, \ldots, K\} .
$$

Input $\boldsymbol{x}=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$, e.g., a sequence of words.
Output $\boldsymbol{y}=\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)$, e.g., a sequence of part-of-speech tags.
For each data point (sentence), $|\boldsymbol{y}|=|\boldsymbol{x}|$; different data points have different lengths.
For fixed length $n$, some possible outputs:

- $(1,1, \ldots, 1,1) \in \boldsymbol{y}$
- $(1,1, \ldots, 1,2) \in \boldsymbol{y}$
- $(K, K, \ldots, K, K) \in Y$

How many in terms of $n$ ?

## Part-Of-Speech Tags

|  | Tag | Description | Example |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ADJ | Adjective: noun modifiers describing properties | red, young, awesome |
|  | ADV | Adverb: verb modifiers of time, place, manner | very, slowly, home, yesterday |
|  | NOUN | words for persons, places, things, etc. | algorithm, cat, mango, beauty |
|  | VERB | words for actions and processes | draw, provide, go |
|  | PROPN <br> INTJ | Proper noun: name of a person, organization, place, etc.. | Regina, IBM, Colorado |
|  |  | Interjection: exclamation, greeting, yes/no response, etc. | oh, um, yes, hello |
|  | ADP | Adposition (Preposition/Postposition): marks a noun's spacial, temporal, or other relation | in, on, by, under |
|  | AUX | Auxiliary: helping verb marking tense, aspect, mood, etc., | can, may, should, are |
|  | CCONJ | Coordinating Conjunction: joins two phrases/clauses | and, or, but |
|  | DET | Determiner: marks noun phrase properties | a, an, the, this |
|  | NUM | Numeral | one, two, first, second |
|  | PART | Particle: a preposition-like form used together with a verb | up, down, on, off, in, out, at, by |
|  | PRON | Pronoun: a shorthand for referring to an entity or event | she, who, I, others |
|  | SCONJ | Subordinating Conjunction: joins a main clause with a subordinate clause such as a sentential complement | that, which |
| \# | PUNCT | Punctuation | ; , () |
|  | SYM | Symbols like \$ or emoji | \$, \% |
|  | X | Other | asdf, qwfg |

Figure 8.1 The 17 parts of speech in the Universal Dependencies tagset (Nivre et al., 2016a). Features can be added to make finer-grained distinctions (with properties like number, case, definiteness, and so on).

## POS Tagging Evaluation

Evaluation: sequence-level accuracy

$$
\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N_{\text {valid }}} \boldsymbol{y}^{(i)}=\hat{\boldsymbol{y}}^{(i)}}{N_{\text {valid }}}
$$

or micro-averaged tag accuracy (writing $n^{(i)}=\left|\boldsymbol{y}^{(i)}\right|$ ):

$$
\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N_{\text {valid }}} \sum_{j=1}^{n^{(i)}} y_{j}^{(i)}=\hat{y}_{j}^{(i)}}{\sum_{i=1}^{N_{\text {valid }}} n^{(i)}}
$$

Example:

| true: | PRO | VERB | NUM | NOUN | ADV |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| pred: | PRO | VERB | NUM | NOUN | PRO |
| words: | there | are | 70 | children | there |
| true: | INTJ |  |  |  |  |
| pred: | X |  |  |  |  |
| words: | eeeeek |  |  |  |  |
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## Designing A Simple Scorer

Writing $\boldsymbol{y}=\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)$, take
$\operatorname{score}(\boldsymbol{y})=\sum_{j} a_{j, y_{j}}$.
$\boldsymbol{A}$ is a matrix of scores,
e.g., computed by a NN encoder.

$\boldsymbol{A}=$|  | det | noun | adj | verb |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| the | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| old | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 |
| man | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 |
| the | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| boat | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 |

## Designing A Simple Scorer

| Writing $\boldsymbol{y}=\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)$, take $\operatorname{score}(\boldsymbol{y})=\sum_{j} a_{j, y_{j}}$. | $A=$ |  | det |  |  | ver |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | the | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\boldsymbol{A}$ is a matrix of scores, e.g., computed by a NN encoder. |  | old | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 |
|  |  | man | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 |
|  |  | the | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| the old man the boat |  | boat | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| $\boldsymbol{y}_{a}$ det adj noun det noun |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\boldsymbol{y}_{b}$ det noun verb det noun |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Designing A Simple Scorer

Writing $\boldsymbol{y}=\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)$, take
score $(\boldsymbol{y})=\sum_{j} a_{j, y_{j}}$.
$\boldsymbol{A}$ is a matrix of scores,
e.g., computed by a NN encoder.
the old man the boat
$\boldsymbol{y}_{a}$ det adj noun det noun
$\boldsymbol{y}_{b}$ det noun verb det noun
$\operatorname{score}\left(\boldsymbol{y}_{a}\right)=$

Writing $\boldsymbol{y}=\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)$, take $\operatorname{score}(\boldsymbol{y})=\sum_{j} a_{j, y_{j}}$.
$\boldsymbol{A}$ is a matrix of scores, e.g., computed by a NN encoder.
$\operatorname{score}\left(\boldsymbol{y}_{\mathrm{a}}\right)=$

$\boldsymbol{A}=$|  | det | noun | adj | verb |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| the | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| old | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 |
| man | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 |
| the | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| boat | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 |

## Designing A Simple Scorer

Writing $\boldsymbol{y}=\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)$, take
score $(\boldsymbol{y})=\sum_{j} a_{j, y_{j}}$.
$\boldsymbol{A}$ is a matrix of scores,
e.g., computed by a NN encoder.
the old man the boat
$\boldsymbol{y}_{a}$ det adj noun det noun
$\boldsymbol{y}_{b}$ det noun verb det noun
$\operatorname{score}\left(\boldsymbol{y}_{a}\right)=21$

Writing $\boldsymbol{y}=\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)$, take $\operatorname{score}(\boldsymbol{y})=\sum_{j} a_{j, y_{j}}$.
$\boldsymbol{A}$ is a matrix of scores, e.g., computed by a NN encoder.
$\operatorname{score}\left(\boldsymbol{y}_{a}\right)=21$

## Designing A Simple Scorer

```
Writing \boldsymbol{y}=(\mp@subsup{y}{1}{},\ldots,\mp@subsup{y}{n}{})\mathrm{ , take}
score(\boldsymbol{y})=\mp@subsup{\sum}{j}{}\mp@subsup{a}{j,\mp@subsup{y}{j}{}}{}.
A is a matrix of scores,
e.g., computed by a NN encoder.
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
& the & old & man & the & boat \\
\(\boldsymbol{y}_{a}\) & det & adj & noun & det & noun \\
\(\boldsymbol{y}_{b}\) & det & noun & verb & det & noun
\end{tabular}
score( }\mp@subsup{\boldsymbol{y}}{\textrm{a}}{(})=2
score( (\mp@subsup{\boldsymbol{y}}{b}{})=
```

$\boldsymbol{A}=$|  | det | noun | adj | verb |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| the | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| old | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 |
| man | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 |
| the | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| boat | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 |

## Designing A Simple Scorer

```
Writing \boldsymbol{y}=(\mp@subsup{y}{1}{},\ldots,\mp@subsup{y}{n}{})\mathrm{ , take}
score(\boldsymbol{y})=\mp@subsup{\sum}{j}{}\mp@subsup{a}{j,\mp@subsup{y}{j}{}}{}.
A is a matrix of scores,
e.g., computed by a NN encoder.
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
& the old & man & the & boat \\
\(\boldsymbol{y}_{a}\) & det & adj & noun & det & noun \\
\(\boldsymbol{y}_{b}\) & det & noun & verb & det & noun
\end{tabular}
score( }\mp@subsup{\boldsymbol{y}}{\textrm{a}}{(})=2
score(}\mp@subsup{\boldsymbol{y}}{b}{})=1
```

$\boldsymbol{A}=$|  | det | noun | adj | verb |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| the | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| old | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 |
| man | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 |
| the | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| boat | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 |

## Designing A Simple Scorer

A first attempt:
separate classifier for each position.

1. embed and encode $x$, eg, with a CNN.

$$
\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \rightarrow\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}\right)
$$

2. For each position $j$, apply a classification head with $K$ outputs. E.g.,

$$
a_{j}=W^{\top} \boldsymbol{z}_{j}+\boldsymbol{b}
$$

Think of $\boldsymbol{A}$ as a matrix with $n$ rows and $K$ columns, where $a_{j, c}$ is the score of assigning tag $c$ at position $j$.
3. Writing $\boldsymbol{y}=\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)$, take $\operatorname{score}(\boldsymbol{y})=\sum_{j} a_{j, y_{j}}$.

```
words = [21, 79, 14] # indices
emb = Embedding(vocab_sz, dim)
clf = Linear(dim, n_tags)
# optionally add RNN, CNN, whatever
Z = emb(words) # (3 x dim)
A = clf(Z) # (3 x n_tags)
# computing the score of a given tag sequence:
y = [2, 0, 2]
y_score = sum(A[i, yi]
    for y, yi in enumerate(y))
# or, if you want to be fancy/fast:
y_score = A[torch.arange(len(y)), y].sum()
```


## Finding The Best sequence

With our $\operatorname{score}(\boldsymbol{y})=\sum_{j} a_{j, y_{j}}$, can we compute:

```
max score(y)
```

$\boldsymbol{A}=$|  | det | noun | adj | verb |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| the | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| old | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 |
| man | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 |
| the | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| boat | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 |

Finding The Best sequence

With our $\operatorname{score}(\boldsymbol{y})=\sum_{j} a_{j, y_{j}}$, can we compute:

```
max score(y)
=}\mp@subsup{\operatorname{max}}{\mp@subsup{y}{\mathbf{1}}{\prime}\in[K],\ldots,\mp@subsup{y}{n}{}\in[K]}{}\operatorname{score}([\mp@subsup{y}{1}{},\ldots,\mp@subsup{y}{n}{}]
```

$\boldsymbol{A}=$|  | det | noun | adj | verb |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| the | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| old | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 |
| man | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 |
| the | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| boat | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 |

Finding The Best sequence

With our $\operatorname{score}(\boldsymbol{y})=\sum_{j} a_{j, y_{j}}$, can we compute:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \max _{\boldsymbol{y} \in \mathcal{Y}} \operatorname{score}(\boldsymbol{y}) \\
= & \max _{y_{\mathbf{1}} \in[K], \ldots, y_{n} \in[K]} \operatorname{score}\left(\left[y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right]\right) \\
= & \max _{y_{\mathbf{1}} \in[K], \ldots, y_{n} \in[K]} \sum_{j} a_{j, y_{j}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$\boldsymbol{A}=$|  | det | noun | adj | verb |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| the | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| old | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 |
| man | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 |
| the | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| boat | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 |

Finding The Best sequence

With our $\operatorname{score}(\boldsymbol{y})=\sum_{j} a_{j, y_{j}}$, can we compute:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \max _{\boldsymbol{y} \in \mathcal{Y}} \operatorname{score}(\boldsymbol{y}) \\
= & \max _{y_{\mathbf{1}} \in[K], \ldots, y_{n} \in[K]} \operatorname{score}\left(\left[y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right]\right) \\
= & \max _{y_{\mathbf{1}} \in[K], \ldots, y_{n} \in[K]} \sum_{j} a_{j, y_{j}} \\
= & \sum_{j} \max _{y_{j} \in[K]} a_{j, y_{j}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Finding The Best sequence

With our $\operatorname{score}(\boldsymbol{y})=\sum_{j} a_{j, y_{j}}$, can we compute:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \max _{\boldsymbol{y} \in \mathcal{y}} \operatorname{score}(\boldsymbol{y}) \\
= & \max _{y_{\mathbf{1}} \in[K], \ldots, y_{n} \in[K]} \operatorname{score}\left(\left[y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right]\right) \\
= & \max _{y_{\mathbf{1}} \in[K], \ldots, y_{n} \in[K]} \sum_{j} a_{j, y_{j}} \\
= & \sum_{j} \max _{y_{j} \in[K]} a_{j, y_{j}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$\boldsymbol{A}=$|  | det | noun | adj | verb |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| the | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| old | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 |
| man | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 |
| the | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| boat | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 |

So, arg max $\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{y}} \operatorname{score}(\boldsymbol{y})$ is made up of the tags selected independently at each position.

## Normalizing Constant (log-sum-exp)

With our $\operatorname{score}(\boldsymbol{y})=\sum_{j} a_{j, y_{j}}$, can we compute:

$$
\log \sum_{\boldsymbol{y} \in \mathcal{Y}} \exp (\operatorname{score}(\boldsymbol{y}))
$$

$\boldsymbol{A}=$|  | det | noun | adj | verb |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| the | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| old | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 |
| man | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 |
| the | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| boat | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 |

## Normalizing Constant (log-sum-exp)

With our $\operatorname{score}(\boldsymbol{y})=\sum_{j} a_{j, y_{j}}$, can we compute:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \log \sum_{\boldsymbol{y} \in \mathcal{Y}} \exp (\operatorname{score}(\boldsymbol{y})) \\
= & \log \sum_{y_{1}=1}^{K} \ldots \sum_{y_{n}=1}^{K} \exp \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{j, y_{j}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$\boldsymbol{A}=$|  | det | noun | adj | verb |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| the | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| old | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 |
| man | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 |
| the | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| boat | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 |

## Normalizing Constant (log-sum-exp)

With our $\operatorname{score}(\boldsymbol{y})=\sum_{j} a_{j, y_{j}}$, can we compute:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \log \sum_{\boldsymbol{y} \in \mathcal{y}} \exp (\operatorname{score}(\boldsymbol{y})) \\
= & \log \sum_{y_{\mathbf{1}}=1}^{K} \ldots \sum_{y_{n}=1}^{K} \exp \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{j, y_{j}} \\
= & \log \sum_{y_{1}=1}^{K} \ldots \sum_{y_{n}=1}^{K} \prod_{j=1}^{n} \exp a_{j, y_{j}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$\boldsymbol{A}=$|  | det | noun | adj | verb |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| the | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| old | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 |
| man | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 |
| the | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| boat | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 |

## Normalizing Constant (log-sum-exp)

With our $\operatorname{score}(\boldsymbol{y})=\sum_{j} a_{j, y_{j}}$, can we compute:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \log \sum_{\boldsymbol{y} \in \mathcal{y}} \exp (\operatorname{score}(\boldsymbol{y})) \\
= & \log \sum_{y_{1}=1}^{K} \ldots \sum_{y_{n}=1}^{K} \exp \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{j, y_{j}} \\
= & \log \sum_{y_{1}=1}^{K} \cdots \sum_{y_{n}=1}^{K} \prod_{j=1}^{n} \exp a_{j, y_{j}} \\
= & \log \prod_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{y_{j}=1}^{K} \exp a_{j, y_{j}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Normalizing Constant (log-sum-exp)

With our $\operatorname{score}(\boldsymbol{y})=\sum_{j} a_{j, y_{j}}$, can we compute:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \log \sum_{\boldsymbol{y} \in \mathcal{y}} \exp (\operatorname{score}(\boldsymbol{y})) \\
= & \log \sum_{y_{1}=1}^{K} \ldots \sum_{y_{n}=1}^{K} \exp \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{j, y_{j}} \\
= & \log \sum_{y_{1}=1}^{K} \cdots \sum_{y_{n}=1}^{K} \prod_{j=1}^{n} \exp a_{j, y_{j}} \\
= & \log \prod_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{y_{j}=1}^{K} \exp a_{j, y_{j}} \\
= & \sum_{j=1}^{n} \log \sum_{y_{j}=1}^{K} \exp a_{j, y_{j}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Normalizing Constant (log-sum-exp)

With our $\operatorname{score}(\boldsymbol{y})=\sum_{j} a_{j, y_{j}}$, can we compute:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \log \sum_{\boldsymbol{y} \in \mathcal{y}} \exp (\operatorname{score}(\boldsymbol{y})) \\
= & \log \sum_{y_{1}=1}^{K} \ldots \sum_{y_{n}=1}^{K} \exp \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{j, y_{j}} \\
= & \log \sum_{y_{1}=1}^{K} \cdots \sum_{y_{n}=1}^{K} \prod_{j=1}^{n} \exp a_{j, y_{j}} \\
= & \log \prod_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{y_{j}=1}^{K} \exp a_{j, y_{j}} \\
= & \sum_{j=1}^{n} \log \sum_{y_{j}=1}^{K} \exp a_{j, y_{j}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$\boldsymbol{A}=$| the | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| old | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 |
| man | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 |
| the | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| boat | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 |

Probabilistic interpretation: independence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\log \operatorname{Pr}(\boldsymbol{y}) & =\operatorname{score}(\boldsymbol{y})-\log \sum_{\boldsymbol{y}^{\prime} \in \mathcal{Y}} \operatorname{expscore}\left(\boldsymbol{y}^{\prime}\right) \\
& =\sum_{j} \underbrace{\left(a_{j, y_{j}}-\log \sum_{k \in[K]} \exp a_{j, k}\right)}_{\log \operatorname{Pr}\left(y_{j}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Fully-Local vs. Fully-Global

For sequence tagging, the separable (fully-local) score

$$
\operatorname{score}(\boldsymbol{y})=\sum_{j} a_{j, y_{j}}
$$

amounts to applying a probabilistic classifier to each of the $n$ positions separately! (any "magic" comes from the feature represtntation / neural net encoder.)

Can we design a richer $\operatorname{score}(\boldsymbol{y})$ taking into account the sequential structure of $\boldsymbol{y}$ ?

## Fully-Local vs. Fully-Global

Entirely global model: like classification, where each possible sequence is a class.

```
            y score(y)
            det det det det det -1000
            det det det det noun -940
                det det det det verb -800
    det noun verb det noun 400
verb verb verb verb verb -1100
```

As expressive as possible: score is any function of the sequence.

## Fully-Local vs. Fully-Global

Entirely global model: like classification, where each possible sequence is a class.

```
            y score(y)
        det det det det det -1000
        det det det det noun -940
        det det det det verb -800
    det noun verb det noun 400
verb verb verb verb verb -1100
```

As expressive as possible: score is any function of the sequence.
But completely intractable: $O\left(K^{n}\right)$ time and space.

## Fully-Local vs. Fully-Global

Entirely global model: like classification, where each possible sequence is a class.

```
            y score(y)
        det det det det det -1000
        det det det det noun -940
        det det det det verb -800
    det noun verb det noun 400
verb verb verb verb verb -1100
```

As expressive as possible: score is any function of the sequence.
But completely intractable: $O\left(K^{n}\right)$ time and space.
Structure output prediction is about the space in between these two extremes.

Idea: scoring transitions between adjacent tags

$$
\operatorname{score}(\boldsymbol{y})=\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{j, y_{j}}+\sum_{j=2}^{n} t_{y_{j-1}, y_{j}}
$$

For example, score([NOUN, DET, VERB] $)=+a_{2, \text { DET }} a_{1, \text { NOUN }}+a_{3, \text { VERB }}+t_{\text {NOUN,DET }}+t_{\text {DET,VERB }}$

## Scoring Transitions Between Tags

A rich scorer that takes into account the sequential nature of $\boldsymbol{y}$ while still allowing efficient computation:
scoring transitions between adjacent tags

$$
\operatorname{score}(\boldsymbol{y})=\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{j, y_{j}}+\sum_{j=2}^{n} t_{y_{j-1}, y_{j}}
$$

For example, score $([$ NOUN, $\operatorname{DET}, \operatorname{VERB}])=a_{1, \text { NOUN }}+a_{2, \text { DET }}+a_{3, \text { VERB }}+t_{\text {NOUN,DET }}+t_{\text {DET,VERB }}$

## Sequence Modeling With Transition Scores

$$
\operatorname{score}(\boldsymbol{y})=\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{j, y_{j}}+\sum_{j=2}^{n} t_{y_{j-1}, y_{j}}
$$

The tag scores $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times K}$ can be computed as before (e.g., with a convnet.)
The transition scores $T \in \mathbb{R}^{K \times K}$ :

- could be a learned parameter. (size does not depend on $n$ )
- could be predicted by the neural net as a function of $\boldsymbol{x}$.

Unlike in the separable case, with transition scores, we no longer get $n$ parallel classifiers: the different tags impact one another. (This makes the model more expressive and more interesting.)
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## Sequence Tagging As A DAG

$$
\operatorname{score}(\boldsymbol{y})=\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{j, y_{j}}+\sum_{j=2}^{n} t_{y_{j-\mathbf{1}}, y_{j}}
$$


$G=(V, E, w)$ where:

$$
\begin{aligned}
V= & \{(j, c): j \in[n], c \in[K]\} \\
& \cup\{s, t\}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
E=\left\{\left(j-1, c^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow(j, c): j \in[2, n], c, c^{\prime} \in[K]\right\}
$$

$$
\cup\{s \rightarrow(1, c): c \in[K]\}
$$

$$
\cup\{(n, c) \rightarrow t: c \in[K]\}
$$

$$
w\left(\left(j-1, c^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow(j, c)\right)=a_{j, c}+t_{c^{\prime}, c}
$$

$$
w(s \rightarrow(1, c))=a_{1, c}
$$

$$
w((n, c) \rightarrow t)=0
$$

$$
|V| \in \Theta(n K) ; \quad|E| \in \Theta\left(n K^{2}\right)
$$

Topological ordering?

## Viterbi For Sequence Tagging



## General Viterbi (reminder sketch)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { initialize } m_{1} \leftarrow 0 \\
& \text { for } i=2, \ldots, n \text { do } \\
& \qquad m_{i} \leftarrow \max _{j \in P_{i}}\left(m_{j}+w(j i)\right) \\
& \qquad \pi_{i} \leftarrow \arg \max _{j \in P_{i}}\left(m_{j}+w(j i)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

follow backpointers to get best path

Viterbi for sequence tagging
input: Unary scores $\boldsymbol{A}(n \times K$ array)
Transition scores $\boldsymbol{T}(K \times K$ array)
Forward: compute scores recursively

$$
\begin{aligned}
& m_{1 c}=a_{1 c} \quad \text { for all } c \in[K] \\
& \text { for } j=2 \text { to } n \text { do } \\
& \text { for } c=1 \text { to } K \text { do } \\
& \quad m_{j, c} \leftarrow \quad \max _{c^{\prime} \in[K]}\left(m_{j-1, c^{\prime}}+a_{j, c}+t_{c^{\prime}, c}\right) \\
& \pi_{j, c} \leftarrow \arg \max _{c^{\prime} \in[K]}\left(m_{j-1, c^{\prime}}+a_{j, c}+t_{c^{\prime}, c}\right) \\
& f^{\star}=\max _{c^{\prime} \in[K]} m_{n, c^{\prime}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Backward: follow backpointers

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y_{n}=\arg \max _{c^{\prime}} m_{n}\left(c^{\prime}\right) \\
& \text { for } j=n-1 \text { down to } 1 \text { do } \\
& y_{j}=\pi_{j+1, y_{j+1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

output: $f^{\star}$ and $\boldsymbol{y}^{\star}=\left[y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right]$

## Viterbi For Sequence Tagging: Example

$m_{j, c}$ is stored as a matrix $\boldsymbol{M}$, same shape as $\boldsymbol{A}$.
Apply $m_{1, c}=a_{1, c}$ to get the first row: (copied from $\boldsymbol{A}$ )
Then iteratively: $m_{j, c}=\max _{c^{\prime} \in[K]} m_{j-1, c^{\prime}}+a_{j, c}+t_{c^{\prime}, c}$
At the end, take the maximum over the last row.

$\boldsymbol{M}=$ old | the noun adj verb |
| :--- |
| man |
| the |
| boat |

unary and transition scores:

$\boldsymbol{A}=$|  | det | noun | adj | verb |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| the | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| old | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 |
| man | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 |
| the | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| boat | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | det | noun | adj | verb |
| det | -4 | 3 | 2 | -1 |
| noun | -3 | -2 | -1 | 2 |
| adj | -2 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| verb | 1 | -1 | 0 | 0 |
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$\boldsymbol{M}=$|  | det | noun | adj | verb |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| the | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| old | 1 | 9 | 10 | 4 |
| man |  |  |  |  |
| the |  |  |  |  |
| boat |  |  |  |  |
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| boat | 18 | 26 | 20 | 17 |

To find the best tag sequence $\boldsymbol{y}^{\star}$, keep track of the path.
unary and transition scores:

$\boldsymbol{A}=$|  | det | noun | adj | verb |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
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## Viterbi For Sequence Tagging: Example

$m_{j, c}$ is stored as a matrix $\boldsymbol{M}$, same shape as $\boldsymbol{A}$.
Apply $m_{1, c}=a_{1, c}$ to get the first row: (copied from $\boldsymbol{A}$ )
Then iteratively: $m_{j, c}=\max _{c^{\prime} \in[K]} m_{j-1, c^{\prime}}+a_{j, c}+t_{c^{\prime}, c}$
At the end, take the maximum over the last row.

$$
\mathbf{M}=\begin{array}{ccccc} 
& \begin{array}{c}
\text { det } \\
\text { the } \\
\text { old }
\end{array} & 5 & 1 & 0 \\
\text { man } & 8 & 15 & 10 & 0 \\
\text { man } & 10 & 4 \\
\text { the } & 18 & 13 & 14 & 17 \\
\text { boat } & 18 & 26 & 20 & 17
\end{array}
$$

To find the best tag sequence $\boldsymbol{y}^{\star}$, keep track of the path.
unary and transition scores:

$\boldsymbol{A}=$|  | det | noun | adj | verb |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| the | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| old | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 |
| man | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 |
| the | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| boat | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | det | noun | adj | verb |
| det | -4 | 3 | 2 | -1 |
| noun | -3 | -2 | -1 | 2 |
| adj | -2 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| verb | 1 | -1 | 0 | 0 |

## The Two Main Recurrences Of Sequence Tagging:

(Dynamic programming applied to the sequence tagging DAG)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& m_{j, c}=\max _{c^{\prime} \in[K]}\left(m_{j-1, c^{\prime}}+a_{j c}+t_{c^{\prime} c}\right), \\
& q_{j, c}=\log \sum_{c^{\prime} \in[K]} \exp \left(q_{j-1, c^{\prime}}+a_{j c}+t_{c^{\prime} c}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

## The Forward Algorithm

## Forward algorithm for sequence tagging

input: Unary scores $\boldsymbol{A}$ ( $n \times K$ array)
Transition scores $\boldsymbol{T}$ ( $K \times K$ array)
Forward: compute scores recursively

```
q1,c}=\mp@subsup{a}{1,c}{}\quad\mathrm{ for all ce[K]
for }j=2\mathrm{ to }n\mathrm{ do
    for c=1 to K do
        qj,c}=\operatorname{log}\mp@subsup{\sum}{\mp@subsup{c}{}{\prime}\in[K]}{}\operatorname{exp}(\mp@subsup{q}{j-1,\mp@subsup{c}{}{\prime}}{}+\mp@subsup{a}{j,c}{}+\mp@subsup{t}{\mp@subsup{c}{}{\prime},c}{}
return }\operatorname{log}Z=\operatorname{log}\mp@subsup{\sum}{\mp@subsup{c}{}{\prime}\in[K]}{}\operatorname{exp}(\mp@subsup{q}{n,\mp@subsup{c}{}{\prime}}{}
```

|  | the | old | man | the | boat |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\boldsymbol{y}_{a}$ | det | adj | noun | det | noun | $\operatorname{score}\left(\boldsymbol{y}_{a}\right)=25$ |
| $\boldsymbol{y}_{b}$ | det | noun | verb | det | noun | $\operatorname{score}\left(\boldsymbol{y}_{b}\right)=26$ |
| $\boldsymbol{y}_{c}$ | noun | noun | noun | noun | noun | $\operatorname{score}\left(\boldsymbol{y}_{c}\right)=1$ |

Applying the Forward algorithm yields

$$
\boldsymbol{Q}=\begin{array}{rrrrr} 
& \text { det } & \text { noun } & \text { adj } & \text { verb } \\
\text { the } & 5.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 \\
\text { old } & 1.73 & 9.00 & 10.00 & 4.19 \\
\text { man } & 8.18 & 15.01 & 11.05 & 12.70 \\
\text { the } & 18.88 & 13.92 & 14.37 & 17.03 \\
\text { boat } & 18.08 & 26.88 & 20.90 & 18.38
\end{array}
$$

unary and transition scores:

$\boldsymbol{A}=$|  | det | noun | adj | verb |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| the | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| old | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 |
| man | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 |
| the | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| boat | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | det | noun | adj | verb |
| det | -4 | 3 | 2 | -1 |
| noun | -3 | -2 | -1 | 2 |
| adj | -2 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| verb | 1 | -1 | 0 | 0 |


|  | the | old | man | the | boat |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\boldsymbol{y}_{a}$ | det | adj | noun | det | noun | $\operatorname{score}\left(\boldsymbol{y}_{a}\right)=25$ |
| $\boldsymbol{y}_{b}$ | det | noun | verb | det | noun | $\operatorname{score}\left(\boldsymbol{y}_{b}\right)=26$ |
| $\boldsymbol{y}_{c}$ | noun | noun | noun | noun | noun | $\operatorname{score}\left(\boldsymbol{y}_{c}\right)=1$ |

Applying the Forward algorithm yields
unary and transition scores:

$\boldsymbol{A}=$|  | det | noun | adj | verb |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| the | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| old | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 |
| man | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 |
| the | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| boat | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | det | noun | adj | verb |
| det | -4 | 3 | 2 | -1 |
| noun | -3 | -2 | -1 | 2 |
| adj | -2 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| verb | 1 | -1 | 0 | 0 |


|  | the | old | man | the | boat |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\boldsymbol{y}_{a}$ | det | adj | noun | det | noun | $\operatorname{score}\left(\boldsymbol{y}_{a}\right)=25$ |
| $\boldsymbol{y}_{b}$ | det | noun | verb | det | noun | $\operatorname{score}\left(\boldsymbol{y}_{b}\right)=26$ |
| $\boldsymbol{y}_{c}$ | noun | noun | noun | noun | noun | $\operatorname{score}\left(\boldsymbol{y}_{c}\right)=1$ |

unary and transition scores:

$\boldsymbol{A}=$|  | det | noun | adj | verb |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| the | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| old | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 |
| man | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 |
| the | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| boat | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | det | noun | adj | verb |
| det | -4 | 3 | 2 | -1 |
| noun | -3 | -2 | -1 | 2 |
| adj | -2 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| verb | 1 | -1 | 0 | 0 |

$$
\log P\left(\boldsymbol{y}_{a}\right)=\operatorname{score}\left(\boldsymbol{y}_{a}\right)-\log Z=25-26.885=-1.885
$$

|  | the | old | man | the | boat |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\boldsymbol{y}_{a}$ | det | adj | noun | det | noun | $\operatorname{score}\left(\boldsymbol{y}_{a}\right)=25$ |
| $\boldsymbol{y}_{b}$ | det | noun | verb | det | noun | $\operatorname{score}\left(\boldsymbol{y}_{b}\right)=26$ |
| $\boldsymbol{y}_{c}$ | noun | noun | noun | noun | noun | $\operatorname{score}\left(\boldsymbol{y}_{c}\right)=1$ |

unary and transition scores:

$\boldsymbol{A}=$|  | det | noun | adj | verb |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| the | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| old | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 |
| man | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 |
| the | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| boat | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | det | noun | adj | verb |
| det | -4 | 3 | 2 | -1 |
| noun | -3 | -2 | -1 | 2 |
| adj | -2 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| verb | 1 | -1 | 0 | 0 |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \log P\left(\boldsymbol{y}_{a}\right)=\operatorname{score}\left(\boldsymbol{y}_{a}\right)-\log Z=25-26.885=-1.885 \\
& \log P\left(\boldsymbol{y}_{b}\right)=\operatorname{score}\left(\boldsymbol{y}_{b}\right)-\log Z=26-26.885=-0.885
\end{aligned}
$$

|  | the | old | man | the | boat |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\boldsymbol{y}_{a}$ | det | adj | noun | det | noun | $\operatorname{score}\left(\boldsymbol{y}_{a}\right)=25$ |
| $\boldsymbol{y}_{b}$ | det | noun | verb | det | noun | $\operatorname{score}\left(\boldsymbol{y}_{b}\right)=26$ |
| $\boldsymbol{y}_{c}$ | noun | noun | noun | noun | noun | $\operatorname{score}\left(\boldsymbol{y}_{c}\right)=1$ |

unary and transition scores:

$\boldsymbol{A}=$|  | det | noun | adj | verb |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| the | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| old | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 |
| man | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 |
| the | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| boat | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | det | noun | adj | verb |
| det | -4 | 3 | 2 | -1 |
| noun | -3 | -2 | -1 | 2 |
| adj | -2 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| verb | 1 | -1 | 0 | 0 |

$$
\begin{aligned}
\log P\left(\boldsymbol{y}_{a}\right)=\operatorname{score}\left(\boldsymbol{y}_{a}\right)-\log Z=25-26.885 & =-1.885 \\
\log P\left(\boldsymbol{y}_{b}\right)=\operatorname{score}\left(\boldsymbol{y}_{b}\right)-\log Z=26-26.885 & =-0.885 \\
\log P\left(\boldsymbol{y}_{c}\right)=\operatorname{score}\left(\boldsymbol{y}_{c}\right)-\log Z=1-26.885 & =-25.885
\end{aligned}
$$

## Putting It All Together

At this point, we have all the ingredients needed to train a probabilistic sequence tagger with transition scores!

1. Receiving an input sequence $x$, the model returns unary and transition scores $\boldsymbol{A}$ and $\boldsymbol{T}$.
2. If we're at test time:
run Viterbi to get predicted sequence; compute accuracies etc.
3. If training time:
run Forward algorithm to compute the training objective
$-\log P(\boldsymbol{y} \mid \boldsymbol{x})=-\operatorname{score}(\boldsymbol{y})+\log \sum_{\boldsymbol{y}^{\prime} \in \boldsymbol{y}} \exp \operatorname{score}\left(\boldsymbol{y}^{\prime}\right)$.
This probabilistic model is often known as a Linear-Chain Conditional Random Field.
(Historically, Linear-Chain CRFs didn't use neural net scorers, but the math doesn't change. Today I prefer to teach it this way.)
